

### REMARKS

The Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's Action of June 1, 2005. In the Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 7 and 14 and indicated that all other pending claims include allowable subject matter. The indication of allowable subject matter is gratefully acknowledged. Applicants have amended the rejected claims to include limitations representative of the allowable subject matter as indicated by the Examiner.

More particularly, the limitations of dependent claims 2 and 8 have been combined in two independent claims, 1 and 7 respectively. Independent claim 14 has also been amended to include similar subject matter limitations.


Accordingly, it is believed all independent claims now include allowable subject matter as indicated by the Examiner and thus all pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Concerning the formula amendments in claims 3 and 9, the deletion is for a calibration factor which is unnecessary to the basic principles of the subject development, especially when the system is in no need of calibration.

### CONCLUSION

All outstanding matters obstructing allowable disposition of the pending claims having been dealt with, it is believed this case is in condition for allowance. Early notice thereof is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,  
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP



Patrick R. Roche, Reg. No. 29,580  
1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor  
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579  
216-861-5582

Date

9/30/05